

Instructor : COMBINE

Course : -
Semester : FALL
Year : 2003

CLASSIFICATION

Freshman : 231
Sophomores : 161
Juniors : 164
Seniors : 142
Others : 14

CURRENT GPA

above 3.50 : 88
3.00 - 3.49 : 260
2.50 - 2.99 : 259
2.00 - 2.49 : 78
Below 2.00 : 13
Other : 14

TOTAL RESPONDING : 712

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	27%(195)	49%(351)	11%(80)	3%(22)	8%(60)	1%(4)	2.154	1.120
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	24%(169)	48%(341)	14%(102)	4%(26)	10%(69)	1%(5)	2.272	1.156
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	21%(150)	40%(284)	13%(95)	6%(42)	19%(138)	0%(3)	2.625	1.395
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	30%(215)	33%(234)	16%(114)	10%(74)	10%(72)	0%(3)	2.371	1.288
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	30%(212)	44%(316)	11%(78)	5%(33)	10%(70)	0%(3)	2.200	1.202
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	26%(187)	46%(329)	13%(92)	4%(30)	10%(71)	0%(3)	2.251	1.184
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	36%(257)	50%(354)	11%(76)	3%(21)	0%(1)	0%(3)	1.808	0.752
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	32%(227)	51%(361)	12%(87)	4%(29)	1%(5)	0%(3)	1.906	0.814
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	21%(149)	32%(228)	12%(88)	5%(39)	29%(203)	1%(5)	2.885	1.536
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	29%(204)	43%(309)	19%(133)	8%(57)	1%(6)	0%(3)	2.086	0.931
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	29%(203)	54%(386)	13%(89)	4%(29)	0%(2)	0%(3)	1.929	0.772
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	27%(193)	51%(365)	15%(109)	5%(35)	1%(7)	0%(3)	2.010	0.844
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	29%(208)	57%(404)	10%(72)	3%(22)	0%(3)	0%(3)	1.883	0.738
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	33%(237)	49%(352)	10%(73)	5%(39)	1%(8)	0%(3)	1.913	0.867
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	31%(220)	45%(320)	13%(91)	9%(63)	2%(15)	0%(3)	2.059	0.991
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	38%(269)	46%(330)	9%(62)	5%(37)	2%(11)	0%(3)	1.859	0.892
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	37%(260)	48%(343)	10%(73)	4%(26)	1%(6)	1%(4)	1.835	0.817
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	35%(249)	49%(348)	10%(73)	5%(37)	0%(2)	0%(3)	1.865	0.819
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	41%(291)	42%(302)	11%(76)	5%(35)	0%(3)	1%(5)	1.808	0.848
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	57%(404)	36%(254)	4%(30)	2%(16)	0%(3)	1%(5)	1.529	0.722
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	51%(363)	39%(276)	6%(41)	3%(22)	1%(5)	1%(5)	1.628	0.789
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	42%(296)	41%(291)	10%(73)	4%(27)	3%(19)	1%(6)	1.841	0.945
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	38%(272)	40%(284)	13%(91)	7%(47)	2%(13)	1%(5)	1.932	0.970
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	38%(272)	40%(285)	12%(86)	6%(46)	1%(6)	2%(17)	1.891	0.920

A B C D E BLANK/
MULTIMARK MEAN STD

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 2.023 OVERALL STD 1.037

Instructor : COMBINE

Course : -

Semester : SPRING

Year : 2004

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Freshman : 165
 Sophomores : 143
 Juniors : 94
 Seniors : 233
 Others : 6

above 3.50 : 87
 3.00 - 3.49 : 240
 2.50 - 2.99 : 195
 2.00 - 2.49 : 97
 Below 2.00 : 20
 Other : 2

 TOTAL RESPONDING : 641

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	27%(171)	53%(338)	12%(76)	4%(28)	4%(27)	0%(1)	2.066	0.968
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	22%(138)	54%(346)	15%(93)	5%(33)	5%(30)	0%(1)	2.173	0.980
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	17%(112)	37%(237)	12%(77)	7%(45)	26%(169)	0%(1)	2.878	1.478
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	35%(222)	35%(222)	13%(85)	5%(34)	12%(77)	0%(1)	2.253	1.309
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	33%(213)	44%(284)	8%(52)	2%(16)	12%(74)	0%(2)	2.146	1.240
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	29%(187)	46%(293)	11%(70)	3%(20)	11%(69)	0%(2)	2.203	1.208
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	37%(238)	43%(277)	11%(73)	7%(46)	1%(5)	0%(2)	1.909	0.916
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	32%(202)	45%(290)	15%(97)	7%(46)	1%(5)	0%(1)	2.003	0.909
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	20%(128)	27%(172)	11%(73)	8%(49)	34%(217)	0%(2)	3.086	1.582
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	28%(179)	41%(260)	17%(110)	13%(82)	1%(9)	0%(1)	2.191	1.028
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	28%(177)	50%(320)	13%(86)	9%(55)	0%(2)	0%(1)	2.039	0.885
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	25%(159)	49%(313)	17%(110)	9%(55)	0%(3)	0%(1)	2.109	0.892
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	31%(199)	49%(315)	12%(78)	7%(43)	1%(5)	0%(1)	1.969	0.879
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	36%(230)	46%(298)	10%(64)	6%(37)	2%(10)	0%(2)	1.903	0.908
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	28%(179)	48%(305)	15%(96)	8%(51)	1%(9)	0%(1)	2.072	0.933
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	35%(224)	48%(308)	10%(64)	6%(36)	1%(8)	0%(1)	1.900	0.882
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	36%(232)	45%(287)	11%(73)	5%(32)	2%(16)	0%(1)	1.927	0.947
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	36%(228)	44%(283)	11%(73)	8%(49)	1%(7)	0%(1)	1.944	0.934
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	44%(279)	35%(227)	12%(80)	8%(49)	1%(5)	0%(1)	1.866	0.960
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	56%(362)	37%(234)	4%(27)	2%(14)	0%(2)	0%(2)	1.529	0.710
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	49%(316)	37%(236)	9%(59)	3%(21)	1%(7)	0%(2)	1.696	0.852
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	39%(248)	39%(251)	10%(65)	7%(46)	4%(27)	1%(4)	1.984	1.079
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	38%(242)	37%(238)	13%(86)	9%(56)	3%(17)	0%(2)	2.011	1.051
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	39%(250)	33%(212)	13%(83)	11%(69)	2%(11)	2%(16)	2.006	1.065

A B C D E BLANK/
MULTIMARK MEAN STD

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 2.078 OVERALL STD 1.090

Instructor : COMBINE

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Course : -
Semester : FALL
Year : 2004

Freshman : 182
Sophomores : 111
Juniors : 108
Seniors : 193
Others : 11

above 3.50 : 60
3.00 - 3.49 : 237
2.50 - 2.99 : 206
2.00 - 2.49 : 72
Below 2.00 : 12
Other : 18

TOTAL RESPONDING : 605

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	30%(183)	50%(304)	11%(64)	2%(14)	6%(34)	1%(6)	2.018	1.009
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	25%(150)	51%(311)	14%(82)	4%(22)	6%(34)	1%(6)	2.130	1.015
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	22%(135)	40%(244)	11%(66)	4%(27)	21%(128)	1%(5)	2.615	1.435
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	48%(288)	35%(212)	7%(43)	1%(6)	8%(48)	1%(8)	1.851	1.139
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	42%(253)	42%(253)	6%(37)	1%(7)	8%(48)	1%(7)	1.903	1.117
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	38%(231)	43%(259)	8%(50)	3%(18)	7%(40)	1%(7)	1.958	1.092
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	40%(244)	44%(268)	11%(66)	3%(17)	1%(4)	1%(6)	1.780	0.802
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	32%(194)	50%(303)	12%(70)	4%(25)	1%(8)	1%(5)	1.917	0.849
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	20%(119)	28%(169)	11%(67)	4%(27)	36%(216)	1%(7)	3.087	1.602
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	27%(165)	46%(277)	17%(103)	8%(48)	1%(8)	1%(4)	2.097	0.937
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	29%(178)	50%(304)	15%(89)	3%(19)	1%(9)	1%(6)	1.960	0.841
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	27%(163)	50%(302)	16%(97)	5%(29)	1%(8)	1%(6)	2.027	0.865
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	30%(184)	56%(337)	9%(53)	2%(15)	1%(9)	1%(7)	1.876	0.786
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	37%(224)	47%(285)	9%(56)	3%(18)	2%(15)	1%(7)	1.855	0.891
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	33%(200)	47%(284)	12%(71)	3%(19)	4%(25)	1%(6)	1.973	0.978
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	38%(227)	50%(303)	7%(44)	2%(10)	2%(14)	1%(7)	1.798	0.831
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	41%(246)	45%(271)	10%(63)	2%(14)	1%(7)	1%(4)	1.777	0.815
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	39%(235)	46%(276)	10%(61)	4%(24)	1%(4)	1%(5)	1.810	0.824
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	42%(252)	39%(238)	12%(75)	5%(30)	1%(5)	1%(5)	1.830	0.890
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	59%(356)	36%(218)	2%(14)	1%(8)	0%(2)	1%(7)	1.465	0.646
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	53%(321)	33%(202)	10%(59)	1%(9)	1%(7)	1%(7)	1.627	0.814
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	43%(261)	40%(245)	9%(56)	2%(15)	3%(17)	2%(11)	1.791	0.922
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	38%(232)	38%(232)	14%(82)	4%(26)	4%(24)	1%(9)	1.956	1.031
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	41%(248)	37%(226)	10%(58)	6%(37)	1%(7)	5%(29)	1.835	0.934

A B C D E BLANK/
MULTIMARK MEAN STD

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stdev does not include multimark response OVERALL MEAN 1.956 OVERALL STD 1.030

Instructor : COMBINE

Course : -

Semester : SPRING

Year : 2005

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Freshman : 171	above 3.50 : 96
Sophomores : 128	3.00 - 3.49 : 225
Juniors : 103	2.50 - 2.99 : 213
Seniors : 244	2.00 - 2.49 : 85
Others : 11	Below 2.00 : 33
	Other : 5

TOTAL RESPONDING : 657

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	33%(214)	46%(302)	11%(74)	3%(22)	6%(42)	0%(3)	2.046	1.074
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	29%(193)	43%(285)	16%(103)	4%(23)	8%(51)	0%(2)	2.166	1.126
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	24%(160)	32%(211)	12%(79)	5%(30)	27%(175)	0%(2)	2.769	1.538
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	46%(299)	32%(209)	8%(54)	3%(22)	11%(71)	0%(2)	2.018	1.285
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	42%(279)	38%(250)	5%(35)	2%(15)	12%(76)	0%(2)	2.021	1.272
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	38%(247)	41%(269)	7%(47)	3%(22)	11%(70)	0%(2)	2.082	1.241
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	43%(283)	41%(272)	11%(73)	3%(21)	1%(5)	0%(3)	1.766	0.829
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	37%(246)	45%(297)	12%(78)	3%(19)	2%(13)	1%(4)	1.861	0.879
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	24%(155)	27%(176)	11%(73)	6%(38)	32%(210)	1%(5)	2.957	1.603
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	30%(197)	40%(263)	19%(122)	9%(61)	2%(10)	1%(4)	2.118	0.994
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	33%(218)	49%(320)	12%(76)	4%(29)	2%(11)	0%(3)	1.922	0.879
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	31%(205)	47%(310)	14%(93)	5%(34)	2%(10)	1%(5)	1.979	0.897
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	35%(232)	51%(333)	9%(61)	2%(15)	2%(13)	0%(3)	1.844	0.834
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	44%(287)	44%(287)	9%(56)	2%(10)	2%(13)	1%(4)	1.737	0.835
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	41%(271)	40%(265)	12%(80)	4%(23)	2%(15)	0%(3)	1.847	0.928
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	45%(293)	44%(291)	7%(44)	2%(10)	2%(16)	0%(3)	1.723	0.849
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	40%(266)	46%(305)	9%(57)	2%(11)	2%(13)	1%(5)	1.773	0.832
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	39%(254)	46%(302)	11%(71)	3%(21)	1%(5)	1%(4)	1.807	0.812
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	38%(250)	39%(255)	13%(88)	9%(58)	0%(2)	1%(4)	1.939	0.948
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	60%(396)	34%(221)	4%(25)	1%(8)	0%(2)	1%(5)	1.465	0.661
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	52%(339)	33%(220)	10%(64)	3%(21)	1%(5)	1%(8)	1.664	0.841
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	44%(291)	39%(257)	9%(56)	2%(10)	5%(36)	1%(7)	1.835	1.034
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	39%(255)	39%(259)	12%(81)	4%(27)	4%(26)	1%(9)	1.935	1.022
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	42%(278)	36%(237)	13%(88)	4%(29)	1%(8)	3%(17)	1.831	0.916

A B C D E BLANK/
MULTIMARK MEAN STD

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 1.963 OVERALL STD 1.077

Instructor : COMBINE

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Course : -
Semester : FALL
Year : 2005

Freshman : 136
Sophomores : 107
Juniors : 139
Seniors : 202
Others : 9

above 3.50 : 69
3.00 - 3.49 : 199
2.50 - 2.99 : 194
2.00 - 2.49 : 104
Below 2.00 : 14
Other : 13

TOTAL RESPONDING : 593

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	32%(187)	48%(284)	9%(54)	3%(15)	9%(51)	0%(2)	2.085	1.132
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	25%(151)	49%(292)	11%(68)	5%(29)	9%(52)	0%(1)	2.221	1.144
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	26%(155)	35%(208)	11%(63)	4%(25)	24%(142)	0%(0)	2.648	1.509
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	49%(288)	35%(209)	6%(35)	2%(13)	8%(47)	0%(1)	1.855	1.153
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	47%(280)	38%(225)	5%(31)	2%(11)	8%(45)	0%(1)	1.845	1.123
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	46%(271)	38%(227)	7%(44)	2%(14)	6%(36)	0%(1)	1.846	1.073
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	43%(253)	45%(266)	9%(53)	2%(13)	1%(5)	1%(3)	1.731	0.782
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	35%(209)	50%(297)	7%(44)	4%(21)	3%(18)	1%(4)	1.883	0.914
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	25%(146)	26%(153)	10%(61)	3%(18)	35%(209)	1%(6)	2.985	1.648
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	28%(169)	40%(239)	21%(124)	8%(46)	2%(12)	1%(3)	2.141	0.987
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	33%(198)	46%(273)	15%(90)	4%(21)	2%(9)	0%(2)	1.934	0.874
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	33%(197)	46%(275)	15%(86)	4%(24)	2%(9)	0%(2)	1.939	0.881
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	35%(210)	51%(301)	9%(54)	3%(15)	2%(11)	0%(2)	1.843	0.832
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	43%(254)	45%(267)	6%(38)	2%(13)	3%(17)	1%(4)	1.764	0.889
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	44%(261)	42%(248)	9%(55)	2%(14)	2%(13)	0%(2)	1.765	0.879
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	47%(278)	39%(233)	8%(50)	2%(14)	3%(16)	0%(2)	1.743	0.910
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	43%(253)	44%(258)	8%(45)	3%(20)	2%(12)	1%(5)	1.776	0.882
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	42%(251)	44%(261)	8%(47)	5%(27)	1%(4)	1%(3)	1.766	0.834
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	50%(297)	30%(177)	11%(65)	8%(45)	1%(5)	1%(4)	1.784	0.976
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	63%(372)	31%(185)	3%(20)	2%(10)	1%(4)	0%(2)	1.459	0.707
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	53%(312)	34%(201)	9%(54)	3%(18)	1%(5)	1%(3)	1.649	0.835
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	47%(276)	37%(218)	8%(50)	3%(19)	5%(27)	1%(3)	1.819	1.031
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	41%(243)	39%(231)	12%(70)	5%(27)	3%(18)	1%(4)	1.890	0.990
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	45%(266)	36%(216)	9%(55)	5%(30)	2%(9)	2%(13)	1.785	0.928

A B C D E BLANK/
MULTIMARK MEAN STD

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 1.923 OVERALL STD 1.065

Instructor : COMBINE

Course : -

Semester : SPRING

Year : 2006

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Freshman :	140	above 3.50 :	79
Sophomores :	88	3.00 - 3.49 :	182
Juniors :	110	2.50 - 2.99 :	207
Seniors :	216	2.00 - 2.49 :	80
Others :	26	Below 2.00 :	27
		Other :	5

TOTAL RESPONDING : 580

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	37%(212)	44%(256)	8%(48)	2%(9)	9%(54)	0%(1)	2.028	1.163
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	32%(186)	44%(255)	10%(59)	3%(18)	11%(61)	0%(1)	2.159	1.214
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	29%(171)	37%(214)	8%(45)	2%(14)	23%(135)	0%(1)	2.530	1.514
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	51%(296)	38%(219)	3%(19)	1%(3)	7%(41)	0%(2)	1.744	1.068
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	52%(300)	36%(206)	5%(28)	1%(8)	6%(35)	1%(3)	1.738	1.050
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	46%(265)	39%(227)	6%(34)	2%(12)	7%(40)	0%(2)	1.849	1.097
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	52%(301)	41%(235)	5%(28)	2%(11)	1%(5)	0%(0)	1.593	0.746
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	46%(268)	44%(256)	7%(38)	2%(10)	1%(7)	0%(1)	1.674	0.775
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	29%(169)	28%(165)	10%(59)	3%(19)	29%(166)	0%(2)	2.737	1.604
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	35%(204)	42%(246)	13%(74)	7%(42)	2%(13)	0%(1)	1.988	0.987
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	38%(220)	46%(265)	11%(61)	3%(19)	2%(13)	0%(2)	1.858	0.895
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	34%(195)	46%(269)	14%(84)	4%(21)	2%(9)	0%(2)	1.927	0.874
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	42%(242)	46%(264)	8%(49)	2%(10)	2%(12)	1%(3)	1.763	0.840
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	49%(285)	41%(238)	7%(39)	2%(9)	1%(8)	0%(1)	1.648	0.789
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	44%(256)	36%(210)	13%(73)	3%(19)	4%(21)	0%(1)	1.858	1.004
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	49%(287)	38%(223)	6%(36)	3%(17)	3%(15)	0%(2)	1.702	0.907
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	50%(288)	41%(238)	6%(36)	1%(8)	2%(9)	0%(1)	1.639	0.791
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	50%(290)	40%(232)	7%(38)	3%(15)	1%(4)	0%(1)	1.637	0.776
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	55%(321)	34%(196)	9%(50)	2%(9)	0%(2)	0%(2)	1.573	0.744
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	69%(398)	28%(160)	2%(14)	1%(5)	0%(1)	0%(2)	1.358	0.593
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	62%(360)	29%(171)	6%(37)	1%(7)	1%(4)	0%(1)	1.487	0.731
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	52%(299)	36%(211)	6%(37)	2%(9)	4%(23)	0%(1)	1.698	0.954
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	51%(294)	34%(198)	8%(47)	3%(18)	3%(20)	1%(3)	1.738	0.980
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	54%(313)	32%(186)	8%(44)	3%(17)	1%(4)	3%(16)	1.605	0.814

A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
---	---	---	---	---	---------------------	------	-----

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 1.814 OVERALL STD 1.028

Instructor : COMBINE

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Course : -
Semester : FALL
Year : 2006

Freshman : 65
Sophomores : 123
Juniors : 159
Seniors : 169
Others : 15

above 3.50 : 67
3.00 - 3.49 : 202
2.50 - 2.99 : 183
2.00 - 2.49 : 57
Below 2.00 : 10
Other : 12

TOTAL RESPONDING : 531

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	35%(185)	43%(230)	10%(55)	3%(17)	7%(39)	1%(5)	2.040	1.120
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	28%(151)	45%(239)	13%(71)	5%(26)	7%(39)	1%(5)	2.169	1.123
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	26%(137)	36%(193)	11%(59)	4%(23)	22%(115)	1%(4)	2.594	1.470
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	53%(280)	33%(173)	5%(24)	2%(8)	8%(42)	1%(4)	1.784	1.145
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	49%(260)	37%(199)	5%(24)	1%(6)	7%(38)	1%(4)	1.791	1.092
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	43%(226)	41%(216)	7%(39)	2%(9)	7%(37)	1%(4)	1.890	1.092
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	43%(227)	45%(239)	8%(41)	3%(16)	1%(5)	1%(3)	1.737	0.804
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	38%(203)	47%(247)	11%(58)	2%(12)	2%(8)	1%(3)	1.816	0.830
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	22%(115)	27%(142)	10%(53)	3%(18)	37%(198)	1%(5)	3.080	1.638
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	29%(155)	40%(212)	19%(100)	9%(48)	2%(12)	1%(4)	2.146	1.017
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	33%(173)	50%(266)	12%(62)	3%(18)	1%(7)	1%(5)	1.897	0.833
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	27%(141)	51%(271)	17%(90)	4%(19)	1%(6)	1%(4)	2.009	0.828
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	36%(193)	50%(265)	10%(52)	2%(9)	1%(7)	1%(5)	1.806	0.786
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	40%(212)	47%(249)	9%(46)	2%(11)	2%(9)	1%(4)	1.778	0.824
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	39%(207)	48%(254)	8%(44)	2%(8)	2%(13)	1%(5)	1.795	0.848
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	46%(245)	43%(230)	6%(34)	1%(5)	2%(12)	1%(5)	1.686	0.823
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	43%(227)	42%(221)	9%(48)	3%(16)	3%(15)	1%(4)	1.806	0.929
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	40%(215)	43%(230)	10%(55)	4%(23)	1%(4)	1%(4)	1.806	0.848
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	48%(257)	38%(203)	8%(41)	4%(23)	0%(2)	1%(5)	1.688	0.824
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	64%(341)	30%(158)	4%(21)	1%(3)	0%(2)	1%(6)	1.413	0.635
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	59%(315)	31%(163)	7%(37)	2%(8)	0%(2)	1%(6)	1.512	0.727
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	49%(261)	36%(189)	8%(45)	3%(14)	3%(16)	1%(6)	1.733	0.946
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	44%(233)	39%(205)	8%(44)	4%(21)	4%(23)	1%(5)	1.852	1.030
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	45%(239)	36%(192)	9%(49)	5%(29)	1%(4)	3%(18)	1.766	0.897

A B C D E BLANK/
MULTIMARK MEAN STD

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 1.900 OVERALL STD 1.043

Instructor : COMBINE

Course : -

Semester : SPRING

Year : 2007

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Freshman :	236	above 3.50 :	92
Sophomores :	89	3.00 - 3.49 :	189
Juniors :	98	2.50 - 2.99 :	144
Seniors :	82	2.00 - 2.49 :	70
Others :	12	Below 2.00 :	19
		Other :	3

TOTAL RESPONDING : 517

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.TEXT WAS READABLE AND CLEAR IN ITS PRESENTATION.....	34%(177)	50%(256)	8%(43)	2%(9)	6%(31)	0%(1)	1.955	1.018
4.TEXT WAS USEFUL IN EXPLAINING CONCEPTS..... AND APPLICATIONS	30%(154)	48%(246)	14%(70)	3%(18)	6%(29)	0%(0)	2.075	1.036
5.SUPPLEMENTAL HANDOUTS WERE HELPFUL.....	30%(153)	44%(227)	10%(53)	3%(13)	14%(71)	0%(0)	2.269	1.291
6.THERE WAS SUFFICIENT TERMINAL AVAILABILITY.....	54%(280)	33%(172)	5%(24)	2%(10)	6%(31)	0%(0)	1.723	1.063
7.ACCESS TO THE LAB/TERMINALS WAS FAIRLY..... ADMINISTERED	52%(269)	38%(195)	3%(14)	1%(7)	6%(32)	0%(0)	1.720	1.040
8.SYS. SOFTWARE..... (TEXT-EDITORS,COMPILERS,ETC) WAS EASY TO USE & DOCUM W	44%(227)	43%(223)	6%(31)	1%(7)	5%(27)	0%(2)	1.804	0.995
9.COURSE OBJECTIVES WERE MADE CLEAR.....	51%(264)	41%(214)	6%(32)	1%(4)	0%(2)	0%(1)	1.578	0.680
10.THERE WAS CONSIDERABLE AGREEMENT BETWEEN..... STATED OBJECTIVES AND WHAT WAS TAUGHT	43%(224)	48%(246)	7%(37)	1%(7)	1%(3)	0%(0)	1.683	0.715
11.THE PREREQUISITE FOR THIS COURSE ADEQUATELY..... PREPARED ME FOR THIS CLASS	23%(121)	35%(180)	8%(41)	1%(7)	32%(166)	0%(2)	2.839	1.604
12.OVERALL, I LEARNED A LOT FROM THE COURSE.....	29%(148)	47%(242)	17%(86)	7%(36)	1%(5)	0%(0)	2.048	0.904
13.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE MEANINGFUL AND..... RELEVANT	35%(179)	54%(281)	7%(36)	3%(17)	1%(3)	0%(1)	1.806	0.751
14.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE OF REASONABLE..... DIFFICULTY	31%(158)	51%(263)	13%(69)	4%(21)	1%(6)	0%(0)	1.944	0.839
15.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS TOGETHER COVERED..... IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF THE COURSE	37%(189)	55%(283)	6%(31)	1%(7)	1%(7)	0%(0)	1.762	0.739
16.THE GRADING POLICY WAS MADE CLEAR AND WAS..... FOLLOWED	44%(226)	47%(243)	6%(29)	2%(8)	2%(11)	0%(0)	1.714	0.816
17.GRADED MATERIAL WAS RETURNED IN A REASONABLE..... AMOUNT OF TIME	42%(216)	44%(227)	9%(45)	3%(14)	3%(15)	0%(0)	1.810	0.916
18.TESTS AND ASSIGNMENTS WERE GRADED FAIRLY..... AND OBJECTIVELY	44%(225)	48%(250)	5%(28)	0%(2)	2%(12)	0%(0)	1.696	0.789
19.CLASS PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-PREPARED..... AND WELL-ORGANIZED	45%(231)	45%(234)	6%(31)	2%(9)	2%(12)	0%(0)	1.718	0.841
20.THE INSTRUCTOR USED APPROPRIATE TEACHING..... METHODS	44%(225)	46%(239)	7%(36)	3%(13)	1%(4)	0%(0)	1.708	0.769
21.THE INSTRUCTOR'S SPEECH (VOLUME,RATE) AND..... ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WERE ADEQUATE	50%(258)	41%(210)	6%(32)	3%(13)	1%(4)	0%(0)	1.636	0.775
22.WAS KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE SUBJECT MATTER.....	69%(355)	28%(145)	2%(11)	0%(2)	1%(3)	0%(1)	1.359	0.605
23.WAS ENTHUSIASTIC AND INTERESTED IN THE..... SUBJECT	60%(309)	34%(174)	5%(24)	1%(5)	1%(4)	0%(1)	1.490	0.704
24.WAS HELPFUL AND AVAILABLE TO STUDENTS NEEDING..... HELP	51%(262)	40%(207)	5%(24)	1%(6)	3%(16)	0%(2)	1.654	0.874
25.WAS GENUINELY CONCERNED ABOUT..... STUDENTS' PROGRESS	46%(239)	40%(209)	8%(42)	2%(11)	3%(13)	1%(3)	1.735	0.890
26.WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER.....	50%(258)	37%(193)	6%(31)	2%(12)	1%(3)	4%(20)	1.610	0.762

A B C D E BLANK/
MULTIMARK MEAN STD

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 1.806 OVERALL STD 0.960

Instructor : COMBINE

Course : -
 Semester : FALL
 Year : 2007

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Freshman :	231	above 3.50 :	80
Sophomores :	141	3.00 - 3.49 :	214
Juniors :	93	2.50 - 2.99 :	181
Seniors :	128	2.00 - 2.49 :	41
Others :	25	Below 2.00 :	92
		Other :	10

 TOTAL RESPONDING : 618

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.The grade I expect to get in this course..... is closest to:	40%(246)	46%(285)	11%(66)	2%(10)	1%(5)	1%(6)	1.763	0.770
4.I consider myself to be:.....	16%(97)	49%(300)	33%(206)	1%(5)	1%(5)	1%(5)	2.219	0.744
5.The course syllabus was helpful.....	29%(178)	53%(330)	10%(64)	4%(26)	3%(17)	0%(3)	1.982	0.901
6.Overall, learning outcomes were adequately..... addressed in the course (e.g., stated	33%(202)	50%(312)	10%(62)	3%(19)	3%(20)	0%(3)	1.932	0.918
7.The grading policy was clearly stated and..... carefully followed.	45%(277)	43%(266)	7%(44)	3%(18)	1%(8)	1%(5)	1.718	0.825
8.The course was well organized.....	41%(251)	40%(249)	12%(72)	5%(30)	2%(13)	0%(3)	1.870	0.946
9.I found that my previous educational experiences..... suitably prepared me to learn t	34%(213)	37%(231)	20%(123)	6%(35)	2%(13)	0%(3)	2.031	0.982
10.This course provided learning challenges..... that have furthered my ability to work	29%(180)	44%(275)	15%(93)	8%(49)	3%(16)	1%(5)	2.096	0.996
11.This course stimulated my interest in the..... subject area.	25%(153)	34%(208)	24%(151)	15%(92)	1%(9)	1%(5)	2.341	1.055
12.This course has helped me to achieve my academic..... goals.	25%(155)	42%(258)	21%(127)	10%(62)	2%(13)	0%(3)	2.220	1.003
13.Classroom activities were engaging and..... meaningful.	22%(133)	43%(266)	23%(141)	10%(63)	2%(10)	1%(5)	2.268	0.967
14.Lectures, assignments, and..... examinations were well coordinated.	33%(205)	50%(308)	10%(59)	6%(34)	1%(8)	1%(4)	1.912	0.873
15.Material was effectively communicated.....	31%(189)	48%(295)	14%(88)	5%(33)	1%(8)	1%(5)	1.982	0.886
16.I actively participated in classroom activities..... and discussion.	23%(144)	33%(207)	29%(180)	11%(66)	3%(16)	1%(5)	2.352	1.035
17.I engaged in projects through which I acquired..... substantial domain specific knowl	33%(202)	47%(289)	14%(87)	4%(23)	2%(11)	1%(6)	1.941	0.884
18.I learned fundamental principles, concepts,..... and theories.	32%(198)	49%(301)	12%(77)	4%(26)	2%(10)	1%(6)	1.936	0.874
19.I learned how to apply knowledge problem..... situations.	27%(165)	46%(284)	18%(112)	6%(37)	2%(13)	1%(7)	2.098	0.937
20.I engaged in processes of synthesis and integration... by relating what I learned i	26%(163)	43%(265)	19%(118)	7%(41)	4%(25)	1%(6)	2.183	1.031
21.I have strengthened my knowledge and skills..... on how to find and use resources for	25%(153)	50%(308)	18%(111)	5%(32)	1%(7)	1%(7)	2.070	0.861
22.I have enhanced my ability to tolerate..... the frustrations and difficulties that in	24%(146)	50%(312)	16%(97)	6%(37)	3%(20)	1%(6)	2.139	0.957
23.I have enhanced my ability to systematically..... monitor progress in problem solving	22%(138)	51%(318)	17%(104)	4%(26)	4%(25)	1%(7)	2.152	0.955
24.Learning was explicitly discussed (e.g.,..... models of learning, experiences in lear	22%(138)	46%(282)	20%(124)	7%(43)	4%(24)	1%(7)	2.236	1.005
25.The instructor was supportive and responsive.....	45%(277)	40%(248)	10%(59)	3%(21)	1%(7)	1%(6)	1.747	0.852
26.The instructor appeared to be knowledgeable..... in the subject matter.	62%(383)	31%(194)	3%(21)	2%(10)	1%(4)	1%(6)	1.461	0.703

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

Instructor : COMBINE

Total Responding : 618

Course : -

Semester : FALL 2007

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
27.The instructor appeared to be knowledgeable..... about processes of learning and thi	50%(312)	34%(209)	10%(61)	4%(23)	1%(7)	1%(6)	1.699	0.880
28.The instructor appeared to be enthusiastic..... about the subject matter.	55%(342)	32%(200)	8%(47)	3%(16)	1%(5)	1%(8)	1.593	0.808
29.The instructor appeared to be interested..... in processes of learning and thinking (48%(297)	35%(219)	11%(66)	4%(22)	1%(8)	1%(6)	1.734	0.886
30.The instructor demonstrated engagement..... in learning, as measured, for example, by	44%(273)	37%(227)	11%(69)	5%(32)	1%(9)	1%(8)	1.815	0.933
31.This course was challenging.....	31%(190)	35%(215)	21%(130)	9%(55)	3%(18)	2%(10)	2.171	1.062
32.I would recommend this course to other..... students.	30%(187)	32%(196)	19%(120)	15%(91)	2%(14)	2%(10)	2.258	1.116
33.I learned a lot from this course.....	30%(187)	38%(233)	17%(102)	10%(62)	3%(17)	3%(17)	2.150	1.062

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 2.002 OVERALL STD 0.957

Instructor : COMBINE

Course : -
 Semester : SPRING
 Year : 2008

CLASSIFICATION

CURRENT GPA

Freshman : 198
 Sophomores : 109
 Juniors : 117
 Seniors : 138
 Others : 21

above 3.50 : 118
 3.00 - 3.49 : 220
 2.50 - 2.99 : 174
 2.00 - 2.49 : 55
 Below 2.00 : 9
 Other : 7

 TOTAL RESPONDING : 583

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
3.The grade I expect to get in this course..... is closest to:	39%(228)	45%(261)	13%(77)	1%(8)	0%(2)	1%(7)	1.776	0.753
4.I consider myself to be:.....	19%(110)	51%(295)	29%(168)	1%(3)	0%(1)	1%(6)	2.116	0.711
5.The course syllabus was helpful.....	25%(148)	52%(305)	13%(76)	7%(38)	2%(12)	1%(4)	2.069	0.912
6.Overall, learning outcomes were adequately..... addressed in the course (e.g., stated	32%(189)	45%(262)	15%(86)	4%(26)	3%(16)	1%(4)	1.995	0.951
7.The grading policy was clearly stated and..... carefully followed.	38%(224)	44%(257)	11%(66)	5%(27)	1%(4)	1%(5)	1.841	0.853
8.The course was well organized.....	37%(215)	42%(244)	13%(76)	7%(41)	1%(3)	1%(4)	1.917	0.909
9.I found that my previous educational experiences..... suitably prepared me to learn t	31%(183)	42%(242)	18%(103)	7%(38)	2%(13)	1%(4)	2.060	0.978
10.This course provided learning challenges..... that have furthered my ability to work	29%(170)	41%(239)	17%(102)	10%(56)	2%(10)	1%(6)	2.128	1.000
11.This course stimulated my interest in the..... subject area.	24%(141)	34%(199)	26%(150)	14%(80)	2%(9)	1%(4)	2.339	1.040
12.This course has helped me to achieve my academic..... goals.	24%(138)	40%(235)	21%(120)	11%(66)	3%(20)	1%(4)	2.301	1.060
13.Classroom activities were engaging and..... meaningful.	21%(124)	38%(224)	26%(154)	12%(68)	1%(8)	1%(5)	2.329	0.985
14.Lectures, assignments, and..... examinations were well coordinated.	28%(165)	48%(278)	15%(88)	7%(39)	1%(6)	1%(7)	2.033	0.897
15.Material was effectively communicated.....	27%(157)	46%(267)	17%(102)	7%(43)	1%(8)	1%(6)	2.095	0.930
16.I actively participated in classroom activities..... and discussion.	23%(133)	39%(226)	24%(140)	10%(59)	3%(16)	2%(9)	2.301	1.024
17.I engaged in projects through which I acquired..... substantial domain specific knowl	33%(191)	44%(258)	14%(82)	6%(37)	1%(6)	2%(9)	1.970	0.910
18.I learned fundamental principles, concepts,..... and theories.	31%(182)	47%(273)	13%(78)	6%(33)	1%(6)	2%(11)	1.965	0.883
19.I learned how to apply knowledge problem..... situations.	26%(153)	43%(248)	20%(116)	7%(42)	3%(15)	2%(9)	2.160	0.986
20.I engaged in processes of synthesis and integration... by relating what I learned i	26%(153)	43%(248)	18%(104)	8%(45)	4%(22)	2%(11)	2.187	1.038
21.I have strengthened my knowledge and skills..... on how to find and use resources for	29%(170)	42%(246)	19%(108)	7%(40)	1%(8)	2%(11)	2.073	0.942
22.I have enhanced my ability to tolerate..... the frustrations and difficulties that in	26%(153)	46%(270)	18%(103)	6%(33)	3%(15)	2%(9)	2.106	0.949
23.I have enhanced my ability to systematically..... monitor progress in problem solving	22%(131)	50%(292)	17%(98)	5%(32)	3%(20)	2%(10)	2.159	0.956
24.Learning was explicitly discussed (e.g.,..... models of learning, experiences in lear	22%(129)	44%(255)	21%(121)	8%(49)	3%(17)	2%(12)	2.247	0.995
25.The instructor was supportive and responsive.....	40%(236)	40%(234)	11%(67)	5%(29)	1%(7)	2%(10)	1.843	0.904
26.The instructor appeared to be knowledgeable..... in the subject matter.	54%(314)	34%(199)	5%(31)	4%(25)	1%(5)	2%(9)	1.620	0.843

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

Instructor : COMBINE

Total Responding : 583

Course : -

Semester : SPRING 2008

	A	B	C	D	E	BLANK/ MULTIMARK	MEAN	STD
27.The instructor appeared to be knowledgeable..... about processes of learning and thi	45%(263)	36%(207)	11%(65)	5%(29)	2%(10)	2%(9)	1.808	0.946
28.The instructor appeared to be enthusiastic..... about the subject matter.	51%(295)	35%(202)	8%(48)	4%(23)	1%(6)	2%(9)	1.681	0.867
29.The instructor appeared to be interested..... in processes of learning and thinking (44%(255)	37%(216)	11%(66)	5%(27)	2%(9)	2%(10)	1.812	0.925
30.The instructor demonstrated engagement..... in learning, as measured, for example, by	42%(245)	36%(210)	12%(69)	6%(36)	2%(11)	2%(12)	1.876	0.981
31.This course was challenging.....	31%(178)	37%(217)	18%(107)	10%(56)	2%(14)	2%(11)	2.145	1.045
32.I would recommend this course to other..... students.	28%(165)	31%(182)	19%(109)	18%(104)	2%(12)	2%(11)	2.329	1.135
33.I learned a lot from this course.....	31%(180)	31%(181)	20%(118)	13%(74)	3%(15)	3%(15)	2.231	1.110

Note: All percentages are rounded,mean and stddev does not include multimark response

OVERALL MEAN 2.049 OVERALL STD 0.972

Instructor: COMBINE

Course : -

Term : Fall 2008

CLASSIFICATION

Freshmen: 248
 Sophomores: 149
 Juniors: 123
 Seniors: 137
 Others: 28

CURRENT GPA

above 3.50: 90
 3.00 - 3.49: 237
 2.50 - 2.99: 170
 2.00 - 2.49: 41
 below 2.00: 139
 Other: 8

 Total Responding: 685

	A	B	C	D	E	Blank	Mean	STD
3. The grade I expect to get in this course is closest to:	34%(233)	49%(336)	14%(95)	1%(9)	1%(4)	1%(8)	1.840	0.752
4. I consider myself to be:	15%(104)	49%(336)	33%(225)	2%(11)	0%(1)	1%(8)	2.216	0.720
5. The course syllabus was helpful.	26%(181)	51%(350)	13%(89)	6%(38)	2%(17)	1%(10)	2.052	0.922
6. Overall, learning outcomes were adequately addressed in the course (e.g., stated, discussed, emphasized).	30%(206)	50%(345)	12%(83)	4%(29)	2%(14)	1%(8)	1.966	0.886
7. The grading policy was clearly stated and carefully followed.	40%(272)	46%(314)	9%(65)	3%(21)	1%(6)	1%(7)	1.783	0.809
8. The course was well organized.	39%(264)	43%(293)	13%(87)	4%(27)	1%(7)	1%(7)	1.850	0.865
9. I found that my previous educational experiences suitably prepared me to learn the content of this course	26%(178)	44%(304)	19%(127)	8%(55)	2%(13)	1%(8)	2.145	0.964
10. This course provided learning challenges that have furthered my ability to work in the subject area.	30%(205)	46%(312)	15%(103)	7%(50)	1%(8)	1%(7)	2.032	0.925
11. This course stimulated my interest in the subject area.	22%(150)	37%(252)	25%(172)	14%(98)	1%(6)	1%(7)	2.348	1.007
12. This course has helped me to achieve my academic goals.	21%(146)	45%(307)	22%(148)	9%(64)	2%(13)	1%(7)	2.249	0.961
13. Classroom activities were engaging and meaningful.	23%(160)	41%(282)	24%(164)	9%(63)	1%(8)	1%(8)	2.227	0.952
14. Lectures, assignments, and examinations were well coordinated.	33%(224)	47%(322)	13%(87)	5%(36)	1%(7)	1%(9)	1.935	0.874
15. Material was effectively communicated.	32%(218)	45%(310)	15%(103)	6%(41)	1%(4)	1%(9)	1.969	0.878
16. I actively participated in classroom activities and discussion.	21%(146)	40%(272)	26%(181)	9%(59)	3%(19)	1%(8)	2.310	0.995
17. I engaged in projects through which I acquired substantial domain specific knowledge and skills.	32%(219)	47%(323)	15%(103)	4%(26)	1%(5)	1%(9)	1.928	0.832
18. I learned fundamental principles, concepts, and theories.	30%(205)	52%(354)	12%(80)	5%(31)	1%(5)	1%(10)	1.929	0.818
19. I learned how to apply knowledge problem situations.	24%(166)	49%(334)	19%(128)	4%(29)	2%(16)	2%(12)	2.101	0.902
20. I engaged in processes of synthesis and integration by relating what I learned in this course to material from	24%(166)	48%(330)	18%(120)	5%(33)	4%(25)	2%(11)	2.141	0.967
21. I have strengthened my knowledge and skills on how to find and use resources for solving problems.	28%(191)	48%(330)	16%(113)	5%(31)	1%(8)	2%(12)	2.012	0.862
22. I have enhanced my ability to tolerate the frustrations and difficulties that inevitably occur in	23%(156)	52%(358)	16%(107)	5%(34)	2%(17)	2%(13)	2.104	0.901
23. I have enhanced my ability to systematically monitor progress in problem solving, along with my ability to s	22%(150)	54%(367)	16%(110)	4%(28)	3%(18)	2%(12)	2.104	0.885
24. Learning was explicitly discussed (e.g., models of learning, experiences in learning, difficulties with le	21%(143)	48%(328)	20%(137)	6%(44)	3%(21)	2%(12)	2.215	0.956
25. The instructor was supportive and responsive.	45%(309)	39%(265)	9%(63)	5%(31)	1%(5)	2%(12)	1.749	0.861
26. The instructor appeared to be knowledgeable in the subject matter.	57%(390)	33%(229)	5%(37)	2%(14)	0%(3)	2%(12)	1.530	0.734
27. The instructor appeared to be knowledgeable about processes of learning and thinking (i.e., about metacog	47%(325)	36%(248)	9%(61)	5%(34)	1%(5)	2%(12)	1.731	0.878
28. The instructor appeared to be enthusiastic about the subject matter.	52%(356)	34%(236)	8%(52)	4%(25)	1%(4)	2%(12)	1.640	0.825
29. The instructor appeared to be interested in processes of learning and thinking (i.e., about metacognition).	45%(307)	38%(261)	11%(72)	4%(25)	1%(7)	2%(13)	1.756	0.865

Note: All percentages are rounded, mean and standard deviation does not include multimark response.

Instructor: COMBINE

Course : -

Term : Fall 2008

CLASSIFICATION		CURRENT GPA	
Freshmen:	248	above 3.50:	90
Sophomores:	149	3.00 - 3.49:	237
Juniors:	123	2.50 - 2.99:	170
Seniors:	137	2.00 - 2.49:	41
Others:	28	2.00 - 2.49:	139
-----		Other:	8
Total Responding:	685		

	A	B	C	D	E	Blank	Mean	STD
30. The instructor demonstrated engagement in learning, as measured, for example, by sharing professional research	44%(301)	37%(251)	12%(80)	5%(32)	1%(7)	2%(14)	1.797	0.901
31. This course was challenging.	34%(233)	36%(250)	18%(124)	8%(52)	1%(9)	2%(17)	2.033	0.984
32. I would recommend this course to other students.	30%(203)	37%(255)	15%(102)	14%(95)	2%(12)	3%(18)	2.187	1.075
33. I learned a lot from this course.	28%(194)	40%(274)	18%(121)	8%(58)	1%(10)	4%(28)	2.111	0.979
							Overall:	2.000 0.920

Note: All percentages are rounded, mean and standard deviation does not include multimark response.